

Missing Women and the Price of Tea in China:
The Effect of Relative Female Income on
Sex Imbalance
(Job Market Paper)

Nancy Qian*
Department of Economics, MIT
Cambridge, Massachusetts

January 23, 2005

Abstract

Economists have long argued that the severe sex imbalance which exists in many developing countries is caused by underlying economic conditions. However, observed association between sex ratios and economic conditions may not reflect their true effect to the extent sex ratios and economic conditions are associated with omitted variables such as sex preferences. This paper uses exogenous increases in sex-specific agricultural income caused by post-Mao reforms in China to estimate the effects of total income, relative female and relative male incomes on sex ratios of surviving children. The results show that increasing income alone has no effect on sex ratios whereas increasing relative female income increases survival rates for girls and increasing relative male income decreases survival rates for girls. Moreover, increasing the mother's income increases education attainment for all children while increasing the father's income decreases education attainment for girls and has no effect on boys' education attainment. (*JEL* I12, J13, J16, J24, O13, O15)

*I am grateful to my advisors Josh Angrist, Abhijit Banerjee and Esther Duflo for their guidance and support; Daron Acemoglu, Ashley Lester, Sendhil Mullainathan, Dwight Perkins, Mark Rosenzweig, Seth Sanders and Ivan Fernandez-Val for their suggestions; the Michigan Data Center, Huang Guofang and Terry Sicular for data assistance; and the participants of the MIT Development Lunch, the *Social Science Research Council Conference for Development and Risk Fellowship Recipients*, the *Harvard East Asian Conference*, and the *International Conference on Poverty, Inequality, Labour Market and Welfare Reform in China* at ANU RSSH RSPAS for useful comments. I would like to acknowledge financial support from the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship, the Social Science Research Council Fellowship for Development and Risk, and the MIT George C. Schultz Fund. All mistakes are my own. Contact nqian@mit.edu.

1 Introduction

Many Asian populations are characterized by highly imbalanced sex ratios. For example, only 48.4% of the populations of India and China are female in comparison with 50.1% in western Europe. Amartya Sen (1990, 1992) coined the expression "missing women" to refer to the observed female "deficit" in comparing sex ratios of developing countries with sex ratios of rich countries. An estimated 30-70 million women are "missing" from India and China alone. This phenomenon is almost certainly due to behavioral factors that reflect a preference for male children (selective abortion, infanticide and/or neglect).¹ In the long run, male-biased sex ratios can benefit women by increasing their price in the marriage market (Angrist, 2002). A more immediate concern, however, is that to select the sex of the child, parents without access to pre-natal gender revealing technologies must resort to infanticide or other forms of neglect which ultimately lead to the death of a child.

Economists, beginning with Becker (1981) and Rosenzweig and Schultz (1982), have long argued that sex imbalance responds to economic conditions. The negative cross-country correlation between income and sex imbalance corroborates this argument. However, the sex imbalance within China is *increasing*, not decreasing, despite rapid economic growth. Figure 1 plots sex ratios by age from the 1982 and 1990 China Population Censuses and the 1990 U.S. Population Census. It shows that in 1982, sex ratios by age in China were very similar to

¹There are two recent studies arguing that sex imbalance is caused by biological factors unrelated to economic conditions. Norberg (2004) finds that parents living with a spouse or opposite-sex partner were 14% more likely to have a male child in the U.S. However, since there is no evidence of increased cohabitation during this period in China and divorce rates were *rising*, her findings would suggest that the observed sex imbalance under-reports sex selection.

In a recent study of the impact of hepatitis B on sex ratios, Oster (2004) argues that 75-85% of the observed sex imbalance in China is explained by the effect of hepatitis infection of pregnant mothers on miscarriage of female fetuses. However, Figure 1 shows that sex imbalance increases for cohorts born 1976 and after. (By comparing sex ratios by age from the 1982 Population Census with the 1990 Population Census, Figure 1 shows that in 1990, there are more males for every age under 14. In other words, the increasing sex imbalance observed in the 1990 Census is a cohort effect rather than an age effect). Since there is no evidence of an increase in hepatitis B infection rates during this period (if anything, infection rates should have decreased due to the introduction of a vaccine), and because infection rates are likely to be correlated with socio-economic variables which may affect sex imbalance directly, it is likely that her results overestimate the true effect of hepatitis B on China's total sex imbalance.

that of the U.S. But in 1990, there are more males for every age under 14. In other words, sex ratios increased for cohorts born 1976 and after, which coincides with the beginning of post-Mao market reforms that led to an increase in GDP per capita and an increase in the gender wage gap.² This is consistent with the alternative explanation most recently posited by Foster and Rosenzweig (2001) which argues that sex ratios respond to sex-specific economic conditions. For example, parents may wish to avoid having female children when marriage requires a large dowry. Or, the demand for girls relative to boys may increase when female productivity increases. The main empirical challenge in establishing the link between sex ratios and economic conditions (including sex-specific economic conditions) is that both sex ratios and economic variables reflect omitted variables such as sex preference.

The principal contribution of this paper is to develop and implement a strategy that captures the causal effect of economic conditions on sex ratios in China using exogenous variation in regional incomes over time. In particular, I exploit the variation in intensity of adult female and male labor input across crops and the exogenous variation in agricultural income caused by two post-Mao reforms (1978-1980). The identification strategy of using exogenous price changes in sex-specific agricultural products to identify effects of changes in female-to-male wage ratio is similar to Schultz's (1985) study on Swedish fertility rates in the late 19th century. He used changing world grain prices to instrument for changes in the female-to-male wage ratio. In China, women are more productive in picking tea and men are more productive in orchard production. Hence, an increase in the relative value of tea increases the total income and the *relative* female income in tea producing households while an increase in relative value of orchards increases the total income and the *relative* adult male income in orchard producing households.

This study uses a differences-in-differences framework to compare sex ratios

²Accurate estimates of rural incomes during the early reform period are prevented by both the lack of data and the fact that rural workers did not receive wages. However, there is a general consensus between conventional wisdom and studies using retrospective data that the gender wage gap began increasing with the onset of market reforms. This is consistent with the fact that before the reform, compensation for workers were set according to education, experience and skill. There was no official differentiation between sexes (Cai et. al., 2004, Rozelle et. al. 2002).

for cohorts born before and after the reforms, between counties that plant and counties that do not plant sex-specific crops that experienced a value increase due to the reform. First, I estimate the effect of adult female income on sex ratios by estimating the effect of an increase in relative tea value on sex ratios. Second, I estimate the effect of adult male income on sex ratios by estimating the effect of an increase in relative value of orchards on sex ratios. Third, I investigate the effect of an increase in total household income without changing the proportion of female and male incomes by estimating the effect of an increase in the relative value of sex-neutral cash crops on sex ratios. Finally, by repeating the experiments above for education attainment, I am able to estimate the effects of increasing total and relative incomes on education investment for boys and girls.

Setting the study in China during the period of 1962-1990 has the advantages that migration was strictly controlled and little technological change occurred in tea or general agricultural production and sex-revealing technologies were unavailable to China's rural population for most cohorts in the study (Diao et al., 2000; Zeng, 1993). In addition, by measuring the effect of sex-specific wages on sex imbalance and education investment, this study can speak to concerns regarding the impact of China's increasing gender wage gap. When applying the results to other countries, however, it is important to carefully consider the interaction effects between income effects with the effects of family size constraints imposed by family planning policies.³

The results show that an increase in relative adult female income has an immediate and positive effect on the survival rate of girls. In the early 1980s, in rural China, increasing female adult income by US\$7.70 (10% of average rural household income) increased the fraction of surviving girls by 1 percentage-point.⁴ Conversely, increasing relative adult male income decreased survival

³To check that the results are not driven by family planning policies, I repeat the experiment for ethnic minorities who are exempt from all family planning policies. The estimates are not reported in the paper, but are similar to the estimates for the whole population.

Rural areas in China received relaxations to the One Child Policy beginning in 1982. Using relaxation data from the China Health and Nutritional Survey, I find that tea counties and non-tea counties are equally likely to receive the relaxation. The sample with policy enforcement data amongst provinces which plant tea is not large enough to be used in statistical analysis.

⁴This estimate is calculated using the empirical results of this study and output and income data reported by Etherington and Forster (1992).

rates for girls. Increasing total household income without changing the relative incomes of men and women had no effect on survival rates. I also find that increasing relative female income increased education investment for both boys and girls, whereas increasing relative male income decreased education investment in girls and had no effect for boys. The results imply that the post-reform increase in gender wage can partly explain the increase in sex imbalance and the decrease in rural education enrollment during the 1980s.⁵ Furthermore, they shed light on the mechanisms underlying the empirical results. While the effects on survival can be explained by either a model of the household with intra-household bargaining or by a unitary model of the household where parents view children as a form of investment, the results on education are not consistent with a model where children are viewed as pure investment goods and where households are unitary unless the returns to education for girls are negatively correlated with male income and the returns to education for both boys and girls are positively correlated with female income. Therefore, the results for survival and education investment together suggest that at least part of the effect is due to changes in the bargaining power of the woman in the household.

In addition to being of general scientific interest, the results point to the possibility of non-coercive policies that can affect sex ratios. In particular, the results presented here suggest that factors that increase the economic value of women will also increase the probability that female infants are carried to term and female children live to adulthood.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the literature and policy background. Section 3 presents the conceptual framework. Section 4 describes the data. Section 5 discusses the empirical strategy and results for sex ratios. Section 6 discusses the results for education. Section 7 offers conclusions.

⁵Both male and female school enrollment decreased in China during the early reform period (Hannum and Park, Mimeo).

2 Background

2.1 Previous Works

Since Becker (1981) first argued that sex preference reflects underlying economic conditions, many studies have shown that sex ratios are often correlated with household income. However, the nature of this relationship is anything but settled. Becker (1981) theorizes that increased income leads to an increase in the relative demand of girls. This is consistent with Burgess and Zhuang's (2001) study using micro-level data from two provinces in China which shows that boy-preference occurs more in poor households. On the other hand, the Trivers-Willard (1973) hypothesis claims that higher status individuals have more male children (1973). In support of the latter view, Edlund (1999) shows that in India, poor states have more girls and rich states have more boys. To add further controversy, Gu and Roy (1995) show that for China, the poorest and richest regions have the least sex imbalance. And Li (2002) found no correlation between sex ratios and factors such as household income, parents' education and the amount of monetary fine associated with the One Child Policy for children born during 1982-1987.

Beginning with Ben Porath's studies of female labor supply (1967, 1973, 1975), studies have also shown that *relative* female income and/or education matters. For India, Rosenzweig and Schultz (1982) showed that female children receive a larger share of household resources relative to male children in communities where women's expected labor market employment is relatively high. Studies by Clark (2000) and Das Gupta (1987) in India; and Thomas et. al. (1991) in Brazil show that increased wages and/or education for adult women are positively correlated with health and education outcomes for girls. For China, Burgess and Zhuang (2001) show that boy-preference is stronger in areas with fewer non-farm employment opportunities. If men are more valuable for farm labor, their results suggest that boy-preference is positively correlated with the value of adult male labor. In order to estimate the causal effect of sex-specific economic incentives on survival rates, Foster and Rosenzweig (2001) exploit sex-specific, regional and time variation in returns to human capital

caused by the practice of patrilocal exogamy and productivity increases during the Green Revolution in India.⁶

The empirical findings outlined above can all be explained by the unitary model of the household in which households maximize one utility function (e.g. parents have identical preferences or one member of the household dictates his preferences). Because parents maximize the potential earnings of their children in the unitary framework, increased adult female outcomes will increase relative investment in girls if the former reflects an increase in the relative returns to having (investing in) a girl. However, another reason why female income may differentially affect girls and boys is that household decisions are made as a result of a bargaining process in which the income of each family member can affect their bargaining power. For Ghana, Thomas (1994) shows that allocation of resources for girls relative to boys is strongly correlated to the education status of mothers relative to fathers. Duflo (2002) directly tests the unitary hypothesis by comparing the effect of pension payments to grandmothers to the effect of payments to grandfathers on health outcomes for girls in South Africa. She shows that contrary to unitary model predictions, pension payments to grandmothers, which cannot be interpreted as an increase in female productivity, benefit girls while payments to grandfathers do not. For China, Park and Rukumnuaykit (2004) find that household composition has differential effects on fathers' and mothers' nutrient consumption. They argue that this is inconsistent with the unitary model.

2.2 Agricultural Reforms

Pre-1978 Chinese agriculture was characterized by an intense focus on grain production, allocative inefficiency, lack of incentives for farmers and low rural incomes (Sicular, 1988a; Lin, 1988). Agricultural policies aimed at subsidizing urban industrial populations with cheap food centered around production planning. After agriculture was unified in 1953 (*tong gou tong xiao*), planning included mandatory targets for crop cultivation, areas sown, levels of input applications and planting techniques by crop. Amongst these targets, sown

⁶Patrilocal exogamy is the practice for married couples to reside with families of husbands.

area was the most important, in part, because it was easier to enforce (Sicular, 1988a).

Central planning divided crops into three categories. Category 1 included crops necessary for national welfare: grains, all oil crops and cotton. Procurement prices for grain during this period were generally 20%-30% lower than market prices (Perkins, 1966) and market trade of these products was strictly prohibited (Sicular, 1988a). Category 2 included up to 39 products, including: livestock, eggs, fish, hemp, silkworm cocoons, sugar crops, medicinal herbs and tea (Sicular, 1988b).⁷ Category 3 included all other agricultural items (mostly minor local items); these were not under quota or procurement price regulation.

Under the unified system, the central government set procurement quotas for crops of categories 1 and 2 that filtered down to the farm or collective levels. Quota production was purchased by the state at very low prices. These quotas were set so that farmers were supposed to retain enough food to meet their own needs. But in reality, farmers were left with little remaining surplus (Perkins, 1966). Non-grain producers produced grain and staples for their own consumption and sold all cash crop output to the state at suppressed prices. Farmers had very little incentive to produce more than their quota.

After the Great Famine (1959-1961), the government re-emphasized grain production by increasing procurement prices for grain relative to other crops. The state resorted to commercial and production planning to carry out the objectives of grain production (*yi liang wei gang*) and self-sufficiency (*zi li geng sheng*). The government increased production by enforcing mandatory sown area targets for crops and promoted self-sufficiency by purchasing but not selling grain and oils in rural areas. Mandatory sown area targets often required cultivation on land unsuitable for grain. Grain production grew at substantial cost of other production. Production declined for crops which competed with grain for land. Living standards declined significantly in areas suitable for commercial crops (Lardy, 1983).

Post-Mao era reforms focused on increasing rural income, increasing deliveries of farm products to the state, and diversifying the composition of agricultural

⁷The number of crops in each category changed over time. And the number of crops reported for each category for a given year may vary across sources.

production by adjusting relative prices and profitability. Two sets of policies addressed this aim. The first set of policies gradually reduced planning targets and reverted to earlier policies of using procurement price as an instrument for controlling production (Sicular, 1988a). In 1978 and 1979, quota and above quota prices were increased by approximately 20%-30% for grain and certain cash crops. By 1980, prices had increased for all crops. Although category 1 crops benefited from the price increases, emphasis was placed on cash crops from category 2. The second set of policies, named the *Household Production Responsibility System* (HPRS), devolved responsibility from the collective, work brigade, or work team to households (Johnson, 1996; Lin, 1988). The HPRS was first enacted in 1980 and spread through rural China during the early 1980s, devolving all production decisions and quota responsibilities to individual households. The HPRS allowed households to take full advantage of the increase in procurement prices by partially shifting production away from grain to cash crops when profitable.

Together, the two reforms contributed to diversification of agricultural production, greater regional specialization, and less extensive grain cultivation (Sicular, 1988a). There was an immediate and significant increase in the output of cash crops (Johnson, 1996; Sicular 1988a). However, although the value of all crops increased, continued emphasis on rural-urban subsidization of grain and other category 1 products caused the *relative* value of category 1 products to decrease.⁸ I will compute the income from each crop directly in the next section, but the increase in the relative value of category 2 crops is also reflected in the disproportionate growth in output of category 2 crops in comparison with category 1 crops. Figures 2A and 2B show that although output for category 1 crops increased, there is no change in the rate of increase. Figures 2C and 2D show that the rate of increase for suburban vegetables and orchard fruits, both category 2 crops, accelerated after the reform. Similar increases can be observed for tea, another category 2 crop, in Figure 3.

In a second round of reforms designed to reduce the fiscal burden of grain subsidies, the state increased urban grain retail prices and stopped guarantees

⁸The central government complained that staple crop targets were under-fulfilled while production of economic crops greatly exceed plans (Sicular, 1988a).

of unlimited procurement of category 1 products at favorable prices. On average, contract procurement prices for grain were 35% lower than market prices (Sicular, 1988a). This change, combined with the de-regulation of other crops, further decreased the relative-profitability of category 1 products.

Complete substitution away from producing grains was prevented by the state's continued enforcement of household level grain production quotas and its suppression of intra-rural grain trade. As late as 1997, virtually every agricultural household planted staple crops (Eckaus, 1999). Using the 1997 Agricultural Census, Diao et. al. (2000) show that on average, 80% of sown area is devoted to grain and that self-sufficiency in grain was still an important part of Chinese agriculture.

One possible cause of the magnitude and speed of the response of the Chinese agricultural sector is the low labor productivity in the agricultural sector resulting from migration and other labor controls. Calculations for the marginal productivity of labor in Chinese agricultural production vary greatly. However, most studies agree that the high population-to-land ratio and labor market and migration controls result in low marginal productivity in rural areas during this period. Households living in areas with the appropriate natural conditions can then easily expand into cash crop production in response of new economic opportunities. This is consistent with the fact that agricultural households very rarely hired labor from outside the family. In 1997, 1 per 10000 rural households hired a worker from outside of the immediate family (Diao et. al., 2000). Since migration and labor market controls were more strict in the 1980s, it is most likely that the households studied in this paper hired even fewer non-family members. Plentiful cheap adult labor would also reduce demand for child labor.

2.3 Tea and Orchard Production

This section discusses male and female labor intensities in tea and orchard production and how the production of each reacted to post-Mao reforms. I will also directly estimate the income from each crop and show that: the reforms increased income from category 2 cash crops (including tea and orchards) relative to income from category 1 staple crops; and income from tea did not exceed in-

come from other category 2 cash crops. The latter fact addresses the possibility that the effect of income on sex ratio is not linear. An increase in income from tea (orchards) translates into an increase in total household income as well as an increase in relative female (male) income. On the other hand, sex neutral cash crops only affect total household income. To discern whether sex ratios are responding total income or relative female (male) income, I estimate the effect of sex-neutral cash crops on sex ratios. However, if the income effect on sex ratio is non-linear such that there exists some threshold income which must be met before income will affect sex ratio, this strategy will only work if income from tea does not exceed income from sex neutral cash crops.

Across Asia, tea is mainly picked by women. Labor input data by sex and crop is not available to examine sex specialization directly; however, in a study of South Indian tea plantations, Luke and Munshi (2004) show that 95% of workers are female and that female tea pickers are more productive than their male counterparts. The most commonly cited reasons for why adult women have an absolute advantage in picking tea over adult men and children is that tea picking favors small and agile fingers. In general, the value of the tea leaves increase with the tenderness (youth) of the leaf. Adult women have a particular advantage over children, who are considered more careless, in picking green tea leaves, which is worthless if broken.⁹ In addition, tea bushes are on average 2.5 feet (0.76 meters) tall, which disadvantages taller adult males. For China, the specialization caused by women's physical advantage might have been increased by strictly enforced household grain quotas that forced every household to plant grain. In households that wished to produce tea after the reform, men continued to produce grain while women switched to tea production. It follows that for tea planting households, an increase in tea value increased both the total household income and the *relative* value of adult female labor. Moreover, monitoring of tea picking is made difficult by the fact that tea picking is a very delicate task and that the quality and value of tea leaves vary greatly with the tenderness of the leaf. This resulted in almost no hired labor. Hence, the relative value of female labor increased in households that could produce tea despite the availability of

⁹Breakage causes tea leaves to oxidize and blacken.

cheap outside labor.

In contrast, height and strength yields a comparative advantage for men in orchard producing areas.¹⁰ For orchard producing households, an increase in the value of orchard fruits increased both total household income and the *relative* value of adult male labor.

The presence of child labor cannot be ruled out in any agricultural production. However, adult labor surplus resulting from land shortages and labor market controls leaves little demand for child labor. In section 4 of this paper, I will establish that the identification strategy is robust to the possibility that children and adult males (females) contribute to tea (orchard) production.

The main effect of post-Mao reforms for tea production was to increase picking. Considered a priority crop, tea production was collectivized in the 1950s. Procurement and retail were completely nationalized by 1958. During the Cultural Revolution, the government pursued an aggressive expansion of tea fields. However, since farmers had little incentive to produce and tea picking is more difficult to enforce than sowing, most of the sown fields were left wild and untended until the post-Mao era, when the HPRS disaggregated 500 state tea farms into over 90,000 household level tea production units. Tea bushes were restored by extensive tending and pruning (Forster and Etherington, 1992). The procurement price for tea, which was largely unchanged between 1958-1978, doubled between 1979 and 1984. Figure 3A shows the increase in procurement price and yield for tea. Since there was no change in sown area during this period, the yield increase reflects an increase in picking, which, in turn, reflects an increase in the value of female labor.

Figure 4A shows the national annual income from category 1 crops and tea calculated using procurement price data and data on the output per standard day of labor by year and by crop. After 1979, income from tea increased at a

¹⁰Adult men have a comparative advantage in orchard production during both sowing and picking periods. Sowing orchard trees is strength intensive as it requires digging holes approximately 3 feet (0.91 meters) deep. The strength requirement is re-enforced by the fact that Chinese soil is composed of 85% rock. The height of apple trees and orange trees range between 16-40 feet (4.9-12.2 meters) and 20-30 feet (6.1-9.1 meters). The height of the trees mean that adult males have advantages both in pruning and picking over adult females and children. Orchard trees that are most commonly observed in orchards today are either genetically modified (stunted) to be short or kept short by constant pruning.

faster rate than income from grains. I will exploit this increase to estimate the effect of an increase in relative adult female income on sex ratios. Figure 4B shows that the calculated income from orchard production increased at a faster rate than income from category 1 crops. I will exploit this increase to estimate the effect of an increase in relative male income on sex ratios.

Amongst category 2 crops, the government maintained more control on tea than other crops. Tea was viewed as a political symbol by the central government from the early 1950s. In 1984, tea was one of the nine crops to remain under designated procurement price. The central government continued to maintain a retail monopoly on tea up to the early 1990s. Until the late 1980s, China exported tea at subsidized prices. Part of the subsidy was achieved by suppressing procurement prices of tea (Etherington and Forster, 1992). Consequently, although price for tea grew significantly after 1979, tea was not as profitable as many other cash crops. Figure 4C shows that the income from tea experienced similar increases to other category 2 cash crops immediately after the reform. By 1983, the rate of increase was less than income from other category 2 crops although the income from tea continued to increase.

3 Conceptual Framework

This section presents a simple model of sex imbalance. I use this framework to show that adult income affects the desirability of daughters relative to sons through two mechanisms: first by changing the consumption value of having a girl relative to having a boy; and second by changing the investment value of having a girl relative to having a boy. Moreover, it shows that if households are not unitary (e.g. parents do not have identical preferences), a change in adult income can also affect the relative desirability of girls by changing the bargaining power of each parent within the household. The model generates empirically testable predictions for the unitary case.

3.1 Decision Rule

For most cohorts in this study, family size was constrained by China's family planning policies. Thus, I make the simplifying assumption that all households

have exactly one child. The only decision which faces parents is the sex of their child. Because parents do not have access to prenatal sex revealing technology, parents select the sex of their child by deciding to keep or kill a child once she is born. Conditional on having a girl, parents for each household i compare the maximum utility that they can derive from a girl and the maximum utility they can derive from a boy, and will choose to keep a girl if $V_g^H - V_b^H > \varepsilon_i$, where V_s^H is the household's indirect utility in the state of the world where it has a child of sex s , $s \in \{g, b\}$, and ε_i is the cost of sex selection for household i .

The probability of having a girl can be written as:

$$\Pr(S = g) = \Pr(\varepsilon_i < V_g^H - V_b^H) = F(V_g^H - V_b^H) \quad (1)$$

An increase in the probability of keeping a girl will be reflected in the population as an increase in the fraction of girls.

Let y_ρ , $\rho \in \{m, f\}$ denote parents' (mother's and father's) incomes. Given that $F'(\cdot) > 0$, if $\frac{\partial(V_g^H - V_b^H)}{\partial y_\rho} > 0$, then the probability of keeping a girl is increasing in parental income.

Henceforth, denote $\Gamma_{y_\rho} = \frac{\partial(V_g^H - V_b^H)}{\partial y_\rho}$.

3.2 Household Utility

The utility of parent ρ is $u_s^\rho(c)$, where $\rho \in \{m, f\}$ and s , $s \in \{g, b\}$, indicates the state of the world (sex of the child). c is each parent's consumption bundle. I normalize the price of consumption to equal 1. In each state s , parents pool their income and maximize the weighted sum of the mother's and father's utilities, $u_s^m(c)$, $u_s^f(c)$, subject to a household budget constraint comprised of the incomes of the father, mother and a child of sex s , y_f , y_m and y_s . Credit markets are assumed to be perfect such that parents can borrow against the child's adult income. For convenience, I represent parents' consumption and investment decisions in a one period model. The indirect utility function in state s , $V_s(y)$, is the maximand of the following household utility function.

$$\begin{aligned} V_s^H &= \max_c \mu u_s^m(c) + (1 - \mu) u_s^f(c) \\ \text{s.t. } c &= y_f + y_m + y_s \end{aligned}$$

The investment value of a child is characterized by the inclusion of his/her income in the budget constraint. The weight, μ , which characterizes bargaining power, is a function of the mother's and father's income ratio. Hence, the mother's bargaining power is increasing in her income and decreasing in the father's income. Note that the unitary model is simply the special case of the bargaining model where parents have identical utility functions, $u_s^m = u_s^f$.

Assume that the productivity of a child is positively correlated with the productivity of parents such that a child's income is a function of his/her parents' incomes, $y_s = y_s(y_f, y_m)$. Furthermore, assume that the correlation is stronger between a child and a parent of the same sex such that

$$\frac{\partial y_g}{\partial y_m} > \frac{\partial y_g}{\partial y_f} \text{ and } \frac{\partial y_b}{\partial y_f} > \frac{\partial y_b}{\partial y_m}$$

When parents decide whether they wish to keep or kill a girl, they solve for the maximum utilities they can achieve in the two states of the world where they have a girl or a boy. For each state s of the world, $s \in \{g, b\}$, parents solve the Lagrangian for household utility maximization

$$\mathcal{L}_s = \max_c \mu u_s^m(c) + (1 - \mu) u_s^f(c) - \lambda_s [c - (y_f + y_m + y_s)]$$

The effect of a parent's income on the probability of having a girl is

$$\Gamma_{y_p} = \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial y_p} \left[(u_g^m - u_b^m) - (u_g^f - u_b^f) \right] + \left[\lambda_g \frac{\partial y_g}{\partial y_p} - \lambda_b \frac{\partial y_b}{\partial y_p} \right] + \lambda_g - \lambda_b \quad (2)$$

It follows from the first order conditions that λ_s is the bargaining weighted sum of the mother's and father's marginal utilities from income in the state of the world where the household has a child of sex s . $\lambda_g - \lambda_b$ is the *relative* "pure income effect" of having a girl as opposed to having a boy. Holding other variables constant, the effect of a parent's income on the probability of having a girl is increasing in the relative pure income effect. This means that if a daughter complements income more than a son, $\lambda_g > \lambda_b$, an increase in income will increase the desirability of daughters relative to the desirability of sons. In other words, an increase in parents' income will increase the probability of having a girl if girls are luxury goods relative to boys. Henceforth, I call this the relative "consumption value" from having girls.

The terms in the second brackets characterize the relative "investment value" from having a daughter. Holding other variables constant, the relative desirability of a girl will increase if a girl's income increases more with the parent's income than a boy's income, $\frac{\partial y_g}{\partial y_\rho} > \frac{\partial y_b}{\partial y_\rho}$.

The terms $u_g^m - u_b^m$ and $u_g^f - u_b^f$ are the mother's and father's utilities from having a girl relative to having a boy. As long as parents do not have the same relative "sex preferences", $u_g^m - u_b^m \neq u_g^f - u_b^f$, and bargaining power depends on income, $\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial y_\rho} \neq 0$, an increase in parental income will also affect the probability of having a girl by affecting the bargaining power of each parent. Otherwise, equation (2) reduces to the unitary case.

In the general case, if parents view children as only a form of consumption, children's income will not be included in the budget constraint and the terms, $\frac{\partial y_g}{\partial y_\rho}, \frac{\partial y_b}{\partial y_\rho}$ will drop out of equation (2). Similarly, if parents view children as only a form of consumption in the unitary case, equation (2) reduces to $\lambda_g - \lambda_b$, the pure income effect. Since the pure income effect is identical across all sources of income, the effects of mothers' and fathers' income on the relative desirability is also identical in this case, $\Gamma_{y_m} = \Gamma_{y_f}$. Therefore, the joint hypotheses that households are unitary and parents view children as only a form of consumption can, in principle, be tested by comparing the effect of an increase in adult female income and the effect of an increase in adult male income on population sex ratios.

The difference between the effects of the mother's income and the father's income for the general case can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{y_m} - \Gamma_{y_f} &= \left(\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial y_m} - \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial y_f} \right) \left[(u_g^f - u_b^f) - (u_g^m - u_b^m) \right] \\ &\quad + \left[\lambda_g \left(\frac{\partial y_g}{\partial y_m} - \frac{\partial y_g}{\partial y_f} \right) - \lambda_b \left(\frac{\partial y_b}{\partial y_m} - \frac{\partial y_b}{\partial y_f} \right) \right] \\ &> 0, \text{ since } \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial y_m} > \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial y_f}, \frac{\partial y_g}{\partial y_m} > \frac{\partial y_g}{\partial y_f}, \frac{\partial y_b}{\partial y_m} < \frac{\partial y_b}{\partial y_f} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Equation (3) shows that changes in the mother's income and the father's income will have different effects on the probability of having a girl because they affect each parent's bargaining power differently and because the correlation between each parent's income and a child's income is different for boys and

girls.

If households are unitary and parents view children as a form of investment, equation (3) reduces to the bracketed terms. The difference in mothers' and fathers' income effect on the relative desirability of girls is the difference in the correlation of the mother's and father's incomes with the relative investment value of a daughter. It follows that mothers' and fathers' incomes will only have different effects on investments in education or other factors that affect child productivity if they have different effects on the returns to education (or other factors). Therefore, if returns to education can be controlled for, the joint hypotheses that households are unitary and parents view children as a form of investment can be rejected if the effect of increasing relative adult female income on education attainment differ from the effect of increasing relative adult male income.

4 The Data

The analysis of sex ratios uses the 1% sample of the 1997 *Chinese Agricultural Census*, 0.1% sample of the 1990 *Chinese Population Census* and GIS geography data from the Michigan China Data Center matched at the county level.¹¹ The sample includes 1,621 counties in China's 15 southern provinces, south of the Yellow River (Huang He) where any tea is planted.¹² Map 1 show that these counties are dispersed throughout southern China. The 1990 census data contain 52 variables, amongst which are data on sex, year of birth, education attainment, sector and type of occupation, and relationship to the head of household. Because of the different family planning policies and market reforms experienced by urban areas and rural areas, I limit the analysis to rural households. The individual and household level data are aggregated to the county level to match the agricultural census data. The number of individuals in each county-birth year cell is retained so that the regression analysis are all

¹¹This section describes the 0.1% sample of the 1990 *Population Census*. The analysis of education uses data from the 0.1% sample of the 2000 *Chinese Population Census*, which is described in Appendix Table A3. The organization of the two censuses are similar.

¹²Jiangsu, Zhejiang, Anhui, Fujian, Jiangxi, Shandong, Henan, Hunan, Hubei, Guangdong, Guangxi, Sichuan, Guizhou and Shanxi.

population weighted.

Reliable data for procurement prices and output are not available for this period at the county level. For the sake of scope, accuracy and consistency between areas, this study uses county level agricultural data on the sown area from the 1% sample of the 1997 *China Agricultural Census*. Agricultural land is allocated by the village to farmers based on the number of members per household and quality of land. Land is usually allocated for 15 year terms (Burgess, 2004). There is no market for buying or selling land.

Using 1997 agricultural data to proxy for agricultural conditions in the early 1980s introduces measurement error. It is also possible that the counties that which tea in 1997 are the counties which had stronger girl preference prior to the reform. In this case, comparing sex ratios in tea counties that plant tea in 1997 to tea counties that do not plant tea in 1997 will confound the effect of planting tea with the effect of underlying girl-preferences. However, as discussed earlier, the government emphasis on tea planting during the Cultural Revolution meant that the main determinant of whether a region had tea fields was geographic suitability rather than sex preferences preferences. Specifically, tea grows best on warm and humid hilltops. The population density of the Chinese countryside and even distribution of hills through out southern China means counties that plant some tea should not be very different from their neighboring counties that plant no tea (in other respects).

To assess whether counties that do not plant tea are good control groups for counties that plant tea, I look for systematic differences between the treatment and control groups. While I will exploit differences over time in both types of counties, any differential evolution is more likely to be due to the relative income effect if the counties are otherwise similar. The average demographic characteristics and education attainment shown in Table 1 Panel A are very similar between counties that plant some tea and counties that plant no tea. The difference in ethnic composition will be controlled for in the regression analysis. The descriptive statistics for sector of employment in Panel B show that in both types of counties, 94% of the population is involved in agriculture. Panel C shows that households in tea counties farm less total land on average,

devote more land to rice, garden production and less land to orchards. On average, agricultural households have very little farmable land, 4.06-4.85 mu (0.20-0.32 hectares) per household. Households in counties that plant tea have only 0.15 mu (0.02 hectares) of land for tea.

For a visual representation of the similarity in agricultural production between tea producing counties and non-tea producing counties, refer the Maps 1B-1E, which show agricultural density and production by crop. The black colored counties are counties which produce some tea. The gray shaded counties are counties which produce some garden vegetables (Map 2A), orchard fruits (Map 2B) and fish (Map 2C). Map 2D shows counties which produce some tea and counties where the average farmable land per household exceeds the median of 4 mu (0.27 hectares). These maps show that tea producing counties are not geographically distant from counties that produce other cash crops.

5 Empirical Strategy

5.1 Identification

The main problem in identifying the effect of increased relative female-to-male earnings on child outcomes is that both may be in part related to omitted household and community characteristics. For example, in communities with no male-bias, adult women will earn more and parents will view female and male children as equally desirable. In communities with strong male-bias, where adult women earn less and parents strongly prefer boys over girls, we will find a positive correlation between adult female income and girl survival rates. However, since female earnings and girls' survival rates are jointly determined by sex preference, the correlation would not reflect the effect of female income from the effect of sex preference on girls' survival rates. This problem is addressed by exploiting the increase in relative value of tea caused by post-Mao policies during 1978-1980. The exogenous variation in relative adult female earnings allows me to estimate the causal effect of an increase in relative adult female earnings on relative survival rates of girls.

First, I estimate the effect of the agricultural reforms on girl survival rates

in tea planting regions. The identification strategy uses the fact that the rise in adult female income varied across region and time of birth. Substantial variation in amount of tea sown existed across regions. Therefore, the number of surviving female children should have increased in tea planting regions for cohorts born close to and/or after the reform, and the increase should have been larger for regions that planted more tea.¹³ I use a differences-in-differences estimator to control for systematic differences both across regions and across cohorts. Only the combination of these two variations is treated as exogenous. In other words, I compare relative survival rates between counties which plant tea and counties which do not plant tea, for cohorts born before and after the reform. Comparing sex ratios within counties for cohorts born before and after the reform differences out time-invariant community characteristics. Comparing tea planting communities to non-tea planting communities differences out changes that are not due to planting tea. Thus, the causal effect of planting tea can be identified as long as tea planting areas did not experience changes which were systematically different from non-tea planting areas.

Figure 5A plots the fraction of males of each birth year cohort for tea planting counties and counties which do not plant tea. It shows that prior to the reform, tea counties had higher fractions of males and after the reform, tea counties had lower fractions of males. The fact that the change in relative sex ratios between tea and non-tea counties occurred for cohorts born immediately after the reform suggests lends credibility to the identification strategy.

The date of birth and whether an individual is born in a tea planting region jointly determine whether he/she was exposed to the relative adult female income shock. In other words, tea is a proxy for female earnings. The validity of the identification strategy does not rely on the assumption that only women pick tea. If men or children picked tea, the proxy for relative female income will exceed actual relative female income. Hence, the strategy will underestimate the true effect of relative female income on sex ratio. If there are any unobserved

¹³The exact timing of the response in sex ratios to the reform depends on the nature of sex selection. If sex selection is conducted by infanticide, the reform should only affect sex ratios of cohorts who were born after the reform. However, if sex selection is conducted by neglecting young girls, the reform can also affect sex ratios of children who were born a few years before the reform.

time-invariant cultural reasons that both cause women to pick tea and affect the relative desirability of female children, the effect will be differenced out by comparing cohorts born before and after the reform. The identification strategy is only in question if there is some time varying difference which coincides with the reform. For example, if the attitudes which drive sex preference changes in tea planting counties at the time of the reform, the estimate of the effect of planting tea will capture both the relative female income effect and the effect of the attitude change. Or, if the reason for women to pick tea was changed by the HPRS, the pre-reform cohort will be an inadequate control group. While I can not resolve the former problem, the latter concern is addressed by instrumenting for tea planting with time invariant geographic data.

Second, I use the increase in value of orchard fruits relative to other crops to investigate the effect of an increase in relative male income on sex ratios. Third, I investigate whether the increase in tea value affects relative survival rates because of the increase in relative female income rather than an increase in total household income. I estimate the effect of the reform on girls' survival in regions that plant any cash crops (including tea and orchards) that experienced equal or more value increase than tea.

The identification strategy is based on the increase in the value of category 2 crops relative to category 1 crops, for which prices continued to be suppressed, and category 3 crops, which were never regulated. Therefore, the effect of category 1 and category 3 crops on sex ratios should not change after the reform. I estimate the effect of category 1 and category 3 crops on sex ratios. Figure 5B shows that indeed the effect of category 1 and 3 crops were identical before and after the reform.

5.2 Basic Results

To see that the effect of tea and orchards on sex ratios is due to the post-Mao agricultural reforms and not due to other changes in these regions, I check that the effect of tea and orchard on sex ratios increased in magnitude at the time of the reform. The unrestricted effect of tea planted for each birth cohort can

be written as

$$sex_{ic} = \alpha + \sum_{l=1963}^{1990} (tea_i \times d_l)\beta_l + \gamma_i + \psi_c + \varepsilon_{ic} \quad (4)$$

The fraction of males in county i , cohort c is a function of: the interaction term between tea_i , the amount of tea planted for each county i , and d_l , a variable which indicates if a cohort is born in year l ; γ_i , county fixed effects; and ψ_c , cohort fixed effects. The dummy variable for the 1962 cohort and all of its interactions are dropped.

β_l is the effect of planting tea on the fraction of males for cohort l . If the effect of tea on sex ratios was due to the reform, β_l should be zero until approximately the time of the reform, after which, it should become negative. The estimates for the coefficients in vector β_l , reported in Table 2 column (1), are statistically significant for cohorts born after 1979. Figure 6A, the plot of the estimates of β_l , clearly shows the link between the increase in tea value and the decrease in the fraction of males. The estimates oscillate around 0 until 1979, after which, they steadily decrease. To test the joint significance of the effect of planting tea for cohorts born before the reform and for cohorts born after the reform, I estimate the F-statistic for each cohort. They are 3.59 and 2.05, both statistically different from 0.

In a similar regression, I estimate the effect of orchard planted in each county i on the fraction of males in county i , cohort c .

$$sex_{ic} = \alpha + \sum_{l=1963}^{1990} (orchard_i \times d_l)\delta_l + \gamma_i + \psi_c + \varepsilon_{ic} \quad (5)$$

The coefficients in vector δ_l are plotted in Figure 6B. The plot shows that the effect of planting orchards on the fraction of males becomes positive after 1979. The estimates, reported in Table 2 column (2), are statistically insignificant. However, the F-statistics for the interactions for the pre-reform cohort and the post reform cohort are 0.82 and 1.75. This means that while being born in an orchard planting county before the reform has no effect on sex ratios, the effect of being born in an orchard planting county after the reform is jointly significantly different from 0.

Figure 6C plots the coefficients from a similar regression estimating the effect of all category 2 cash crops on fraction of males. The plot shows that the effect

of cash crops on sex ratio experienced no change after the reform. Table 2 column (3) presents the estimates. The F-statistics for the pre-reform cohort and the post reform cohort are 1.32 and 1.37. Neither are statistically different from 0.

Because the relatively few counties produce tea or orchards while all counties produce grains, the reference group in equations (4) and (5) are counties that produce grains. Consequently, controlling for the amount of orchards planted should not affect the unrestricted estimates of the effect of tea from equation (4). To check that the unrestricted estimates are unchanged by including controls for orchards and cash crops, I estimate the following equation.

$$sex_{ic} = \sum_{l=1963}^{1990} (tea_i \times d_l)\beta_l + \sum_{l=1963}^{1990} (orchard_i \times d_l)\delta_l + \sum_{l=1963}^{1990} (cashcrop_i \times d_l)\rho_l + Han_{ic}\zeta + \alpha + \psi_i + \gamma_c + \varepsilon_{ic} \quad (6)$$

Tea_i is a continuous variable for the amount of tea planted in each county i . The dummy variable indicating that a cohort is born in 1962 and all its interactions are dropped. The estimated coefficients for the vectors β_l , δ_l and ρ_l are reported in Table 3. The similarity between these estimates and the unrestricted estimates from equation (4) and (5) can be seen in Figure 6D, which plots the coefficients for tea and orchards. The figure shows clearly that before the reform, sex ratios were very similar between tea and orchard regions, whereas after the reform, planting orchards increased the fraction of males while planting tea decreased the fraction of males. However, the estimates for tea are no longer statistically significant.

5.3 Differences-in-Differences

To summarize the effect on sex ratios, I estimate the following equation where the fraction of males in county i birth cohort c is a function of the interaction term of a dummy variable for whether a county plants tea and a dummy variable for whether a cohort is born after the reform, controlling for the amount of orchards and all category 2 cash crops planted, fraction of Han, county fixed

effects, and a dummy variable for being born after the reform.

$$\begin{aligned}
 sex_{ic} = & \alpha + (tea_i \times post_c)\beta_1 + (orchard_i \times post_c)\beta_2 \\
 & +(cashcrop_i \times post_c)\beta_3 + Han_{ic}\zeta + \psi_i + post_c\gamma + \varepsilon_{ic}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{7}$$

The differences-in-differences estimator, β_1 , is the difference in the fraction of males between cohorts born before and after-reforms between tea planting counties and counties which do not plant tea. $orchard_i$ and $cashcrop_i$ are continuous variables for the amount of orchards planted in county i . All standard errors are clustered at the county level. The estimates in Table 4 columns (3) and (4) show that planting tea decreased the fraction of males by 0.7 percentage points, whereas planting orchards increased the fraction of males by 0.9 percentage points. Both estimates are statistically significant at the 1% levels. However the estimate for the effect of all cash crops, β_3 , is not significantly different from zero. Because the absolute increase in income from tea does not exceed that of other cash crops (Figure 4C), I conclude that increase total household income has no effect on sex ratios.

5.4 Robustness

5.4.1 Migration

If migration patterns differed significantly between tea and non-tea areas, and between orchard and non-orchard areas, the OLS estimates could be capturing the effects of migration rather than of income changes. Cohorts born after the reform are 11 years of age or younger in the 1990 Census. Hence, migration would bias the estimates if households with boys are more likely to migrate out of tea areas and households with girls are more likely to migrate out of orchard areas. Migration controls, however, made migration of entire households impossible. Another possible cause for bias is if amongst pre-reform cohorts, females were more likely to migrate out of tea areas and males were more likely to migrate out of orchard areas. However, because strict migration controls suppressed long term migration from rural areas throughout the period of the study, migration is unlikely to be a serious issue.

To address this problem, I estimate the upper and lower bounds of the ab-

solute value of the effect of planting tea and orchards on sex ratios by estimating equation (7) in a sample where migrants are assumed to be women in tea counties and men in orchard counties. To construct the *inferred* populations, the fraction of urban residents in each province that report they are not born in that city and the population of the province are used to calculate the maximum possible number of rural-urban migrants per province. The population of each county is then used to calculate the fraction of provincial population in each county. I then add the multiple of this fraction and the maximum number of migrants for that province back into each county. Since the post reform cohort is less than 10 years of age and migration of children is not likely, I assume that the new additions are all born prior to the reform. To estimate the lower bound of the effect of tea, the new additions to the pre-reform cohorts in tea counties are assumed to be female. To estimate the upper bound of the effect of tea, the new additions are assumed to be male. Similarly, for the lower bound of the effect of orchard, all the added inferred migrants in orchard counties are assumed to be male. To estimate the upper bound, all the inferred migrants are assumed to be female. The estimated bounds are very similar to the OLS estimates on the reported population, ruling out the possibility that the results are driven by migration.

5.4.2 Cohort Trends

Cohort fixed effects control for variation across cohorts that do not also vary across counties. They cannot control for county-varying cohort trends which may have occurred over the 29 years of this study. I address this issue by including linear cohort trends at the county level. In order to make the estimates comparable to the 2SLS estimates in the next section, I restrict the sample to only counties for which there is geography data and estimate the same specification as the second stage of the 2SLS. This specification does not explicitly control for orchards because planting orchards can be endogenous for the same reasons as those discussed in the next section for tea. I estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 sex_{ic} &= \alpha + (tea_i \times post_c)\beta_1 + (cashcrop_i \times post_c)\beta_2 \\
 &\quad + Han_{ic}\zeta + \psi_i \times trend_c + \psi_i + post_c\gamma + \varepsilon_{ic}
 \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Tea_i is a dummy variable indicating whether a county plants any tea. $\psi_i \times trend_c$ is the interaction between county specific fixed effects with a linear time trend. Columns (1) and (2) of Table 5 shows estimates without and with the the county-level cohort trend. The point estimates are similar and both statistically significant at the 5% level. Thus, the OLS estimates are robust to changes across counties over cohorts.

5.5 Two Stage Least Squares

Two problems motivate the use of instrumental variables. First, using 1997 agricultural data to proxy for agricultural conditions in previous years will introduce measurement error which may bias the estimate downwards. Second, the OLS estimate will suffer from omitted variable bias if families which prefer girls relative to boys switched to planting tea after the reform. In this case, the OLS estimate will overestimate the true effect of an increase in the value female labor because it will confound the aforementioned effect with the sex-preferences of households which switched to planting tea after the reform. I address both problems by instrumenting for the tea planting with the average slope of each county.

Tea grows in very particular conditions: on warm and semi-humid hilltops, shielded from wind and heavy rain. Hilliness is a valid instrument for tea planting if it does not have any direct effects on differential investment decisions and is also not correlated with any other covariates in equation (10). Map 2 shows the slope variation in China, where darker areas are steeper. Map 3 overlays the map of counties which plant tea onto the slope map. The predictive power of slope for tea planting can be seen by comparing the tea planting counties with the steep regions in Map 2. I use the GIS data pictured in Map 2 to calculate the average slope for each county and estimate the following first stage equation, where both the amount of tea planted and slope is time-invariant. Note that since orchards is also an endogenous regressor, the 2SLS specification does not separately control it. The first stage equation is

$$\begin{aligned}
 tea_i \times post_c &= (slope_i \times post_c)\lambda + (cashcrop \times post_c)\varphi \\
 &\quad + Han_{ic}\zeta + \alpha + \psi_i + post_c\gamma + \varepsilon_{ic}
 \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The predicted residuals are used to estimate the following second stage regression.

$$\begin{aligned} sex_{ic} = & (tea_i \times post_c)\beta + (cashcrop \times post_c)\varphi \\ & + Han_{ic}\zeta + \alpha + \psi_i + post_c\gamma + \varepsilon_{ic} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Column (3) of Table 5 shows the first stage estimate from equation (9). The estimate for the correlation between hilliness and planting tea, λ , is statistically significant at the 5% level. Column (4) shows the two stage least square estimate from equation (10). The estimate is larger than the OLS estimate and statistically significant. Column (5) shows the two stage least squares estimate controlling for county-level cohort trends. The estimate is similar in magnitude to the OLS estimate but no longer statistically significant. It is important to note that the estimates with and without trends are not statistically different from each other. The estimate without trends is larger in magnitude but also less precisely estimated. The 2SLS estimate in column (5) shows that conditional on county-level cohort time trends, the OLS estimate is not biased. Furthermore the OLS and 2SLS estimates in columns (2) and (5) are almost numerically identical to the initial OLS estimate in column (1). These results give confidence to the robustness of the initial OLS estimates of the effect of tea and orchards.

6 Results on Education Attainment

The main results of the effect of relative adult earnings on sex ratios rejected the hypothesis that households are unitary and parents view children only as a form consumption. However, since increasing adult agricultural earnings also increase the earnings potential of children, these results do not distinguish the hypothesis that households are unitary and increasing mothers income increases the survival rates of girls by increasing the relative investment value of girls from the alternative hypothesis that increasing female income may increase the survival rates of girls through increasing female bargaining power. To gain further insight in the household decision making process, I investigate the effect of adult income changes on education attainment.

Recall that in the unitary model where parents view children as a form of investment, the decision to invest in a child’s education depends solely on the returns to education. Hence, increasing mother’s income and increasing father’s income will only have different effects on education investment for children if they have different effects on returns to education. Similarly, increasing mother’s income and increasing father’s income will only have different effects on the relative education investment for girls if they have different effects on the relative returns to education for girls. Because there is no income data from this period, I cannot explicitly control for returns to education. However, returns to education are presumably low for manual agricultural labor. Under the assumption that returns to education are the same for planting tea and for planting orchards, I can test the hypothesis that households are unitary and parents view children as a form of investment by estimating the effect of relative female income and relative male income on education attainment.

This analysis uses county-birth-cohort level data from the 2000 *Population Census*.¹⁴ In order to isolate the sample to children who had completed their education, I restrict the sample to cohorts born between 1962 and 1982. Individuals in the sample should not be affected by the Cultural Revolution since disruptions to schools were generally isolated to urban areas.¹⁵ I use cohorts which had not yet reached public preschool age at the beginning of the reforms (born before 1976) as the pre-reform control.¹⁶

The empirical strategy is the same as before. I estimate the following equation to examine the effect of planting tea, orchards and all category 2 cash crops on education attainment for the all individuals. I then repeat the estimation for the sample of girls, the sample of boys and the difference in education between boys and girls.

$$\begin{aligned} eduys_{ic} = & (tea_i * post_c)\beta_1 + (orchard_i * post_c)\beta_2 + \\ & (cashcrop_i * post_c)\beta_3 + Han_{ic}\zeta + \alpha + \psi_i + post_c\gamma + \varepsilon_{ic} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

¹⁴Descriptive statistics are in Appendix Table A3.

¹⁵I repeat the experiment on the sample of cohorts born after 1967, who did not begin primary school until after 1974, when schools were re-opened. The results are similar and statistically significant.

¹⁶Children enter public preschools at age 4 or 5 in China. Public nursery schools, targeted at children age 1-4, are not available to most rural populations.

$eduyrs_{ic}$ is the average years of education attainment for individuals born in county i , birth year c . The estimates in column (1) of Table 6 show that planting tea increased overall, female and male education attainment by 0.2, 0.25 and 0.15 years. On the other hand, planting orchards decreased female education attainment by 0.23 years and has no effect on male education attainment. These estimates are statistically significant at the 1% level. Planting orchards had no effect on male education attainment. The estimates in Column (4) show that planting tea decreased the male-female difference in education attainment whereas planting orchards increased the difference. The latter is statistically significant at the 1% level. The estimates for all category 2 cash crops are close to zero and statistically insignificant.

I re-estimate equation (11) with continuous variables for the amount of tea and orchards planted in each county i . Columns (5)-(8) of Table 6 show that the estimates have the same signs as the estimates with the dummy variables in columns (1)-(4). The estimates show that one additional mu of tea planted increases female education attainment by 0.38 years and male education attainment by 0.5 years, whereas one additional mu of orchards decreases female education attainment by 0.12 years and has no effect on male education attainment. Note that the effect of income from tea increases male education attainment more than for female education attainment and that cash crops in general have no effect on female education attainment but decreases male education attainment.

To observe the timing of the effect of tea on education attainment, I estimate the effect of planting tea by birth year.

$$eduyrs_{ic} = \sum_{l=1963}^{1982} (tea_i \times d_l)\beta_l + \sum_{l=1963}^{1982} (orchard_i \times d_l)\delta_l + \sum_{l=1963}^{1982} (cashcrop_i \times d_l)\rho_l + \zeta Han_{ic} + \alpha + \psi_i + \gamma_c + \varepsilon_{ic} \quad (12)$$

The dummy for the 1962 cohort and all its interactions are dropped. The estimated coefficients for each cohort l in vectors β_l , δ_l and ρ_l are shown in Appendix Table A4. I plot the three year moving averages of the estimates for female education attainment in Figure 7. It shows that female education attainment was similar between tea and orchard areas until 1976, after which it

increased in the former and decreased in the latter.

By showing that the income effect for education is not equal across different sources of income, the results for education, like the main results for sex ratios, reject the hypothesis that households are unitary and parents view children as only a form of consumption. Moreover, if returns to education are not affected by the reform, these results cannot be explained in the context of a unitary model where children are a form of investment. The findings that an increase in adult female income increases education attainment for all children while an increase in adult male income decreases girls' education attainment and has no affect on boys can only be explained by the unitary model (where parents view children as a form of investment) if an increase in tea value increases returns to education of both boys and girls while an increase in orchard value decreases returns to education of girls and does not affect that of boys.

The lack of income data prevents a direct analysis of the returns to education. However, there are reasons to think that the returns to education are not differentially affected by the reforms. First, evidence from India shows that returns to education for tea workers is close to zero (Luke and Munshi, 2004). Second, there was no technological change in tea or orchard production that would have changed the relative productivity of girls (Foster and Rosenzweig, 2001). Overall, the unitary model where parents view children purely as a form of investment can only explain these results in the context of unlikely scenarios, the results can be easily explained with a model where mothers value education more than fathers and increasing the mother's income will increase the investment in education for all children because it increases her bargaining power within the household.

7 Conclusion

This paper addresses the long standing question of whether economic conditions factor into parents' demand for girls relative to boys. Methodologically, it resolves the problem of joint determination in estimating the effect of changes in adult income on survival rate of girls by exploiting changes in total household income and sex-specific incomes caused by post-Mao reforms in rural China during

the early 1980s. I find that increasing total household income without changing the relative incomes of men and women had no effect on survival rates of girls or education attainment. Increasing the share of female income had a large and immediate positive effect on the survival rates of girls and increased education for all children. Conversely, increasing the share of male income immediately decreased survival rates and education attainment of girls. The results reject the joint hypothesis that households are unitary and parents view children as only a form of consumption. Furthermore, the unitary hypothesis where parents view children as a form of investment can be rejected unless implausible assumptions are made about returns to education.

The empirical findings give a clear and affirmative answer to the question of whether sex imbalance responds to economic incentives in the short run. In addition, increasing total household income without changing the relative shares of female and male income will have no effect on survival rates. In association with the increased gender wage gap, these results can help explain the increased sex imbalance and the observed decrease in rural education enrollment in post-reform China. Policy makers who aim to decrease excess female mortality or to increase education investment should create policies that increase proportional adult earnings of women. For example, an effective method of immediately decreasing excess female mortality is to increase the relative income of adult women. The results indicate that for rural China in the early 1980s, increasing female wages by US\$14 (20% of household income) would have balanced the sex ratios.¹⁷

¹⁷This calculation assumes that the elasticity of demand for girls relative to boys with respect to income is linear.

References

- [1] Angrist, Joshua and Krueger, Alan "Empirical strategies." *The Handbook of Labor Economics*, Vol. III, Chapter 23, North Holland, 1999.
- [2] Banister, J. *China's Changing Population*, Stanford, California: Stanford University Press, 1987.
- [3] Becker, Gary A *Treatise on the Family*, Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press, 1981.
- [4] Ben-Porath, Yoram "The Production of Human Capital and the Life Cycle of Earnings." *The Journal of Political Economy*, 75(4) Part 1, 1967, pp. 352-365.
- [5] Ben-Porath, Yoram "Economic Analysis of Fertility in Israel: Point and Counterpoint." *The Journal of Political Economy*, 81(2) Part 2, 1973, pp. S202-S233.
- [6] Ben-Porath, Yoram and Welch, Finis "Do sex preferences really matter?" *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 90(2), 1976, pp. 285-307.
- [7] Bourguignon, Francois, Martin Browning, Pierre-Andre Chiappori and Valerie Lechene "Incomes and Outcomes: A Structural Model of Intra-household Allocation." *Journal of Political Economy*, 1993.
- [8] Browning, M. and Chiappori, P. A. "Efficient intra-household allocations: A general characterization and empirical tests." *Econometrica*, 66(6), 1998, pp. 1231-1278.
- [9] Burgess, Robin "Mao's legacy: Access to land and hunger in modern China." London School of Economics Working Paper, 2004.
- [10] Burgess, Robin and Zhuang, Juzhong "Modernisation and son preference." London School of Economics Working Paper, 2001.
- [11] Cai, Fang, Albert Park and Yaohui Zhao "The Chinese Labor Market." University of Michigan Working Paper, 2004.

- [12] Clark, S. "Son preference and sex composition of children: Evidence from India." *Demography*, 31(1), 2000, pp. 21-32.
- [13] Coale, A. J. and Banister, J. "Five decades of missing females in China." *Demography*, 31(3), 1994, pp. 459-79.
- [14] Croll E., D. Davin, et. al. *China's One Child Family Policy*, London: Macmillan, 1985.
- [15] Das Gupta, Monica "Selective discrimination against female children in rural Punjab, India." *Population and Development Review*, 13(1), 1987, pp. 77-100.
- [16] Diao, Xinshen, Yi Zhang and Agapi Somwaru "Farmland holdings, crop planting structure and input usage: An analysis of China's agricultural census." *Trade and Macroeconomics Discussion Paper*, No. 62, 2000.
- [17] Duflo, Esther "Grandmothers and granddaughters: Old age pension and intra-household allocation in South Africa." *World Bank Economic Review*, 17 (1), 2003, pp. 1-25.
- [18] Eckaus, Richard "Report #50: A study of the effects of natural fertility, weather and productive inputs in Chinese agriculture." MIT Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change, 1999.
- [19] Edlund, L. "Son preference, sex ratios and marriage patters." *Journal of Political Economy*, 107(6), 1999, pp. 1275-1304.
- [20] Foster, Andrew D. and Rosenzweig, Mark R. "Missing women, the marriage market, and economic growth." Brown University Working Paper, 2001.
- [21] Foster, Andrew D. and Rosenzweig, Mark R. "Technical change and human capital returns and investment: Evidence from the Green Revolution." *American Economic Review*, 86(4), 1996, pp. 931-953.
- [22] Garg, Ashish and Morduch, J. "Sibling rivalry and the gender gap: Evidence from child health outcomes in Ghana." *Journal of Population Economics*, 11(4), 1998, pp. 471-493.

- [23] Gu, B. and Roy, K. "Sex ratios at birth in China with reference to other areas in East Asia: What we know." *Asia-pacific Population Journal*, 10(3), pp. 17-42.
- [24] Hannum, Emily and Park, Albert "Educating china's rural children in the 21st Century." Mimeo.
- [25] Jensen, Robert "Fertility preferences and female disadvantage in the developing world: Equal treatment, unequal outcomes?" Harvard Kennedy School Working Paper, mimeo.
- [26] Johnson, Gale "China's rural and agricultural reforms: successes and failures." Chinese Economics Research Centre at the University of Adelaide, 96(12), 1996.
- [27] Lardy, Nicholas R. *Agriculture in China's Modern Economic Development*. New York, New York: Cambridge University Press, 1983.
- [28] Li, Guanghui "Effects of the One-Child policy on the Number and Sex Composition of Children in China," Mimeo, 2002.
- [29] Lin, Justin (1988) "The household responsibility system in China's agricultural reform: A theoretical and empirical study." *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, Vol. 36, pp. s199-s224.
- [30] Luke, Nancy and Munshi, Kaivan "Women as agents of change: Female incomes and household decisions in South India." BREAD Working Paper, 2004.
- [31] Oster, Emily "Hepatitis B and the case of the Missing Women." Harvard Working Paper, 2004.
- [32] Park, Albert and Rukumnuaykit, Pungpond "Eat drink man woman: Testing for gender bias in China using individual nutrient intake data." University of Michigan Working Paper, 2004.
- [33] Perkins, Dwight H. *Market Control and Planning in Communist China*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press, 1966.


- [34] Rosenzweig, Mark R. and Schultz, T. P. "Child mortality and fertility in Colombia: Individual and community effects." *Health Policy and Education*, Vol. 2, pp. 305-348.
- [35] Rosenzweig, Mark. R. and Schultz, T. P. "Market opportunities, genetic endowments, and intrafamily resource distribution: Child survival in rural India." *The American Economic Review*, 72(4), 1982, pp. 803-815.
- [36] Rozelle, Scott et. al. "Gender Wage Gaps in Post-Reform Rural China." World Bank Working Paper, 2002.
- [37] Schultz, T. P. "Demand for children in low income countries." *Handbook of Population and Family Economics*, New York: Elsevier Science, 1997, pp. 349-430.
- [38] Schultz, T. P. "An economic model of family planning and fertility." *The Journal of Political Economy*, 77(2), 1969, pp. 163-180.
- [39] Schultz, T.P. "Changing World Prices, Women's Wages, and the Fertility Transition: Sweden, 1860-1910." *The Journal of Political Economy*, 93(6), 1985, pp. 1126-1154.
- [40] Schultz, T. P. and Zeng, Yi "Fertility of rural China: Effects of local family planning and health programs." *Journal of Population Economics*, 8(4), 1995, pp. 329-350.
- [41] Sen, Amartya "More than 100 million women are missing." *New York Review of Books*, 1990.
- [42] Sen, Amartya "Missing women." *British Medical Journal*, 304, 1992, pp. 587-588.
- [43] Sicular, Terry "Plan and market in China's agricultural commerce." *The Journal of Political Economy*, 96(2), 1988a, pp. 283-307.
- [44] Sicular, Terry "Agricultural planning and pricing in the post-Mao period." *The China Quarterly*, No. 116, 1988b, pp. 671-705.

- [45] Strauss, John and Thomas, Duncan "Health, nutrition and economic development." *Journal of Economic Literature*, 36(2), 1998, pp. 766-817.
- [46] Thomas, Duncan "Like father, like son; like mother, like daughter: Parental resources and child height." *Journal of Human Resources*, 29(4), 1994, pp. 950-988.
- [47] Thomas, D., Strauss, T. and M. Henriques "How does mother's education affect child height?" *The Journal of Human Resources*, 26(2), 1991, pp. 183-211.
- [48] Trivers, R. L. and Willard, D. E. "Natural selection of parental ability to vary the sex ratio of offspring." *Science*, 1973, pp. 179, 190-192.
- [49] White, T. "Birth planning between plan and market: The impact of reform on China's One-Child Policy." *China's Economic Dilemmas in the 1990's: ... Studies in Contemporary China*, London: Sharpe, 1992, pp. 252-269.
- [50] Zeng, Y., Tu, P. et. al. "Causes and implications of the recent increase in the reported sex ratio at birth in China." *Population and Development Review*, 19(2), 1993, pp. 283-302.


8 Appendix - Robustness of Linear Specification

The empirical analysis of sex imbalance uses the fraction of males in the existing population as the dependent variable. To check the robustness of the additivity implied by the linear specification, I repeat the experiment using the log of male-to-female ratios as the dependent variable. Using log odds restricts the sample to county-birth year cells where there are both males and females. I estimate equations (4), (5) and (6) using the new dependent variable. The estimates are shown in Table A1 and plotted in Figures (A1)-(A4). The effects of tea, orchards and category 2 cash crops are statistically significant and very similar to the linear estimates. I estimate the differences-in-differences effect using equation (7) with the new dependent variable. The estimates are shown in Table (A2). They are statistically significant at the 5% level. The estimates in column (2) show that planting tea decreases the relative proportion of boys by 2.9% and planting orchards increase the relative proportion of boys by 2.7%. This translates to a 0.6 percentage-point decrease in the fraction of boys from planting tea and a 0.5 percentage-point increase in the fraction of boys from planting orchards. These estimates are very similar to the linear specification estimates reported in Table 3.

Map 1 – Tea Planting Counties in China
Darker shades correspond to more tea planted per household.




Map 2A – Garden and Tea Producing Counties
Tea counties are colored black




Map 2C – Fish and Tea Producing Counties
Tea counties are colored black.



Map 2B – Orchard and Tea Producing Counties
Tea counties are colored black.




Map 2D – Agricultural Density and Tea Producing Counties
Tea producing counties are outlined.
Shaded counties indicate where the average land per household exceeds 4 mu.



Map 2: Hilliness

Darker shades correspond to steeper regions.



Map 3: Correlation between Tea and Slope

Tea counties are colored black.





Figure 1 – The Fraction of Males by Birth Cohort in Rural China



Notes: 1) the One Child Policy was implemented during 1978-1980; 2) market reforms began in 1976.

Figure 2A – Category 1 Production: Grains
(Measured in Units of 1000 Kilo Tons)




Figure 2C – Category 2 Production: Vegetables
(Measured in Units of 100 Kilo Tons)




Figure 2B – Category 1 Production: Non-grains
(Soy and Oil Measured in Units of 100 Kilo Tons, Roots and Tubers Measured in 1000 Kilo Tons)






Figure 2D – Category 2 Production: Orchards
(Measured in Units of 1 Million Metric Tons)




Note: The lag observed between the reform and the increase in output can be attributed to the time required for orchards to be sown and mature.

Figure 3 – Tea Yield and Tea Procurement Price




Notes: 1) in 1979, government set procurement price for tea increased by 50%; 2) 95% of tea fields were sown in a campaign during the Cultural Revolution (1966-1976); hence, the increase in yield entirely reflects an increase in picking.

Figure 4B – Agricultural Income from Producing Orchards and Category 1 Crops



Notes: 1) income from producing orchards increased by 50% in 1979 (from 50 to 75RMB).; 2) the gradual increase in orchard income through the mid 1980s reflect the slow maturing process of the orchards.

Figure 4A – Agricultural Incomes from Producing Tea and Category 1 Crops



Note: the missing data points reflect years for labor output data is missing.

Figure 4C – Agricultural Income from Producing Tea and Other Category 2 Crops




Figure 5A – Fraction of Males in Counties which Plant Some Tea and Counties which Plant No Tea



Figure 5B – The Effect of Category 1 and 3 Crops on Sex Ratios
 Coefficients of the Interactions Birth Year * Amount of Category 1 Crops Planted and Birth Year * Amount of Category 2 Crops Planted in Unrestricted Sex Ratios Equation




Figure 6A – The Effect of Planting Tea on Sex Ratios
Coefficients of the Interactions Birth Year * Amount of Tea Planted in Unrestricted Sex Ratios Equation




Figure 6C– The Effect of Planting All Category 2 Cash Crops on Sex Ratios

Coefficients of the Interactions Birth Year * Amount of Category 2 Cash Crops Planted in Unrestricted Sex Ratios Equation




Figure 6B – The Effect of Planting Orchards on Sex Ratios
Coefficients of the Interactions Birth Year * Amount of Orchards Planted in Unrestricted Sex Ratios Equation





Figure 6D – The Effect of Planting Tea and Orchards on Sex Ratios

Coefficients of the Interactions Birth Year * Amount of Tea Planted & Birth Year * Amount of Orchards Planted in Pooled Sex Ratios Equation



—○— Orchard —▲— Tea

Figure 7 – The Effect of Planting Tea and Orchards on Girls' Education Attainment

Coefficients of the Interactions Birth Year * Amount of Tea Planted and Birth Year * Amount of Orchards Planted in Pooled Education Equation




Table 1 – Descriptive Statistics:
The Matched Dataset of the 0.1% Sample of the 1990 Population Census and the 1% Sample of the 1997 Agricultural Census

	Counties that Plant No Tea			Counties that Plant Some Tea		
	Obs	Mean	Std. Err.	Obs	Mean	Std. Err.
A. Demographic Variables						
Fraction male	41665	0.51	(0.0003)	10101	0.52	(0.0007)
Age	41665	14.00	(0.0410)	10101	14.00	(0.0833)
Han	41665	0.95	(0.0009)	10101	0.88	(0.0027)
De-collectivized	41665	0.99	(0.0002)	10101	0.99	(0.0004)
Household size	41665	5.22	(0.0132)	10101	5.16	(0.0261)
Married	23641	0.62	(0.0002)	7164	0.62	(0.0004)
Years of Education	32785	6.63	(0.0095)	7996	6.38	(0.0205)
(Female)	37653	4.70	(0.0082)	9465	4.39	(0.0148)
(Male)	37618	6.01	(0.0072)	9465	5.69	(0.0130)
Father's Education	40647	6.17	(0.0067)	10043	5.82	(0.0127)
Mother's Education	40655	4.53	(0.0082)	10054	4.33	(0.0146)
School Enrollment (Female)	40781	0.24	(0.0018)	10009	0.22	(0.0036)
School Enrollment (Male)	40636	0.27	(0.0019)	9977	0.25	(0.0038)
B. Industry of Occupation of Household Head						
Agricultural	41665	0.94	(0.0006)	10101	0.94	(0.0013)
Industrial	41665	0.04	(0.0005)	10101	0.04	(0.0009)
Construction	41665	0.01	(0.0001)	10101	0.00	(0.0002)
Commerce, etc.	41665	0.01	(0.0001)	10101	0.01	(0.0002)
C. Agricultural production and Land Use (Mu)						
Farmable land per household	23018	4.87	(0.0150)	10101	4.06	(0.0211)
Rice Sown Area	23018	1.66	(0.0106)	10101	2.55	(0.0106)
Garden Sown Area	23018	0.23	(0.0029)	10101	0.34	(0.0047)
Tea Sown Area	41665	0.00	(0.0000)	10101	0.15	(0.0034)
Orchard Sown Area	23018	0.20	(0.0029)	10101	0.16	(0.0034)

Sample of those born in during 1962-1990.

Observations are birth year x county cells.

Table 2 – The Effects of Tea, Orchards and Cash Crops on Fraction of Males (Unrestricted):
Coefficients of the Interactions between Dummies Indicating Birth Year and the Amount of Tea, Orchards or Category 2 Cash Crops Planted in the County of Birth

Dependent Variable: Fraction of Males						
Birth Year	Tea (1)		Orchards (2)		Cat 2 Cash Crops (3)	
	Coeff.	Std. Error	Coeff.	Std. Error	Coeff.	Std. Error
1963	-0.005	(0.013)	0.001	(0.005)	0.000	(0.002)
1964	0.005	(0.023)	0.003	(0.006)	-0.001	(0.002)
1965	-0.026	(0.013)	0.000	(0.005)	-0.003	(0.002)
1966	-0.009	(0.014)	0.003	(0.005)	-0.001	(0.002)
1967	-0.014	(0.015)	0.003	(0.005)	0.000	(0.002)
1968	-0.021	(0.014)	-0.003	(0.005)	-0.003	(0.002)
1969	0.001	(0.015)	0.000	(0.005)	-0.001	(0.002)
1970	-0.022	(0.016)	-0.007	(0.007)	-0.004	(0.002)
1971	-0.008	(0.011)	0.002	(0.006)	-0.002	(0.002)
1972	-0.012	(0.010)	-0.006	(0.005)	-0.003	(0.002)
1973	-0.022	(0.011)	-0.007	(0.006)	-0.004	(0.002)
1974	-0.019	(0.014)	0.000	(0.005)	-0.003	(0.002)
1975	-0.014	(0.012)	-0.008	(0.007)	-0.002	(0.002)
1976	-0.002	(0.019)	-0.005	(0.006)	-0.002	(0.002)
1977	-0.010	(0.018)	-0.003	(0.005)	-0.002	(0.002)
1978	-0.023	(0.014)	-0.005	(0.006)	-0.004	(0.002)
1979	-0.006	(0.011)	0.003	(0.006)	-0.002	(0.002)
1980	-0.031	(0.015)	0.000	(0.005)	-0.004	(0.002)
1981	-0.021	(0.015)	0.001	(0.006)	-0.004	(0.002)
1982	-0.024	(0.011)	0.010	(0.005)	0.000	(0.002)
1983	-0.029	(0.015)	0.003	(0.005)	-0.002	(0.002)
1984	-0.035	(0.018)	-0.003	(0.005)	-0.005	(0.002)
1985	-0.026	(0.016)	0.002	(0.005)	-0.003	(0.002)
1986	-0.028	(0.014)	-0.003	(0.005)	-0.004	(0.002)
1987	-0.016	(0.016)	0.003	(0.005)	-0.001	(0.002)
1988	-0.042	(0.012)	-0.006	(0.006)	-0.006	(0.002)
1989	-0.037	(0.019)	0.000	(0.005)	-0.005	(0.002)
1990	-0.037	(0.018)	0.010	(0.006)	-0.003	(0.002)
Observations	49082		49082		49082	
R-Squared	0.14		0.14		0.14	

All regressions include county and birth year fixed effects.

Standard errors clustered at county level.

**Table 3 – The Effects of Tea, Orchards and Cash Crops
on Fraction of Males (Pooled):**

Coefficients of the Interactions Between Dummies Indicating Birth Year and the Amount of Tea, Orchards
and Category 2 Cash Crops Planted in the County of Birth

Dependent Variable: Fraction of Males						
Birth Year	Tea (1)		Orchards (2)		Cat 2 Cash Crops (3)	
	Coeff.	Std. Error	Coeff.	Std. Error	Coeff.	Std. Error
1963	-0.005	(0.016)	0.001	(0.009)	0.000	(0.002)
1964	0.019	(0.026)	0.015	(0.010)	-0.001	(0.002)
1965	-0.013	(0.016)	0.012	(0.009)	-0.003	(0.002)
1966	0.000	(0.016)	0.011	(0.009)	-0.001	(0.002)
1967	-0.015	(0.018)	0.002	(0.009)	0.000	(0.002)
1968	-0.014	(0.017)	0.003	(0.009)	-0.003	(0.002)
1969	0.013	(0.018)	0.011	(0.009)	-0.001	(0.002)
1970	-0.013	(0.019)	0.001	(0.010)	-0.004	(0.002)
1971	0.008	(0.014)	0.016	(0.011)	-0.002	(0.002)
1972	-0.003	(0.014)	0.002	(0.010)	-0.003	(0.002)
1973	-0.001	(0.013)	0.003	(0.010)	-0.004	(0.002)
1974	-0.003	(0.017)	0.014	(0.010)	-0.003	(0.002)
1975	-0.021	(0.016)	-0.012	(0.011)	-0.002	(0.002)
1976	0.003	(0.023)	-0.002	(0.012)	-0.002	(0.002)
1977	0.001	(0.021)	0.006	(0.009)	-0.002	(0.002)
1978	-0.008	(0.016)	0.008	(0.009)	-0.004	(0.002)
1979	0.009	(0.014)	0.015	(0.010)	-0.001	(0.002)
1980	-0.014	(0.017)	0.014	(0.009)	-0.004	(0.002)
1981	0.003	(0.018)	0.022	(0.010)	-0.004	(0.002)
1982	-0.014	(0.014)	0.017	(0.010)	0.000	(0.002)
1983	-0.021	(0.018)	0.009	(0.008)	-0.002	(0.002)
1984	-0.016	(0.021)	0.012	(0.009)	-0.005	(0.002)
1985	-0.006	(0.019)	0.017	(0.009)	-0.003	(0.002)
1986	-0.016	(0.017)	0.006	(0.009)	-0.004	(0.002)
1987	-0.005	(0.018)	0.014	(0.009)	-0.001	(0.002)
1988	-0.025	(0.015)	0.008	(0.009)	-0.005	(0.002)
1989	-0.015	(0.022)	0.019	(0.009)	-0.005	(0.002)
1990	-0.013	(0.023)	0.029	(0.011)	-0.002	(0.002)
Observations			49082			
R-Squared			0.14			

All regressions include county and birth year fixed effects.
Standard errors clustered at county level.

**Table 4 – Differences-in-Differences Estimates
of the Effect of Planting Tea and Orchards on Sex Ratios:**
Coefficients of the Interactions between Dummies Indicating Whether a Cohort was Born Post Reform
and Dummies Indicating Whether Any Tea Was Planted in the County of Birth

Dependent Variable : Fraction of Male				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Tea * Post	-0.0081 (0.0024)	-0.0086 (0.0026)	-0.0074 (0.0026)	-0.0074 (0.0026)
Orchard * Post			0.0096 (0.0033)	0.0093 (0.0033)
Cashcrop * Post		0.0007 (0.0007)	-0.0016 (0.0011)	-0.0016 (0.0011)
Han	N	N	N	Y
Observations	49082	49082	49082	49082
R-squared	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09

All regressions include county fixed effect and controls for post and cash crops *post.

Orchard and cashcrop are continuous variables for the amount of orchards and cashcrop planted in each county.

Post = 1 for cohorts born 1979-1990.

Standard errors clustered at county level.

**Table 5 –OLS and 2SLS Estimates of
The Effect of Planting Tea and Orchards on Sex Ratios Controlling for County Level Linear Cohort Trends:
Coefficients of the Interactions between Dummies Indicating Whether a Cohort was Born Post Reform and Dummies Indicating
Whether Any Tea Was Planted in the County of Birth**

	Dependent Variables				
	Fraction of Males		Tea	Fraction of Males	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	OLS	OLS	1st	IV	IV
Tea * Post	-0.013 (0.006)	-0.012 (0.005)		-0.072 (0.031)	-0.011 (0.007)
Slope * Post			0.26 (0.057)		
Linear Trend	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Observations	37756	37756	37756	37756	37756
R-squared	0.13	0.20	0.82	0.05	0.16

All regressions include county fixed effects and controls for Han, orchards, cash crop, and birth cohort.
Post = 1 for cohorts born 1979-1990.
Standard errors are clustered at the county level.

**Table 6 – Differences-in-Differences Estimates of
The Effect of Planting Tea, Orchards and Category 2 Cash Crops on Education Attainment:**
Panel A: Coefficients of the Interactions between Dummies Indicating Whether a Cohort was Born Post Reform and Dummies
Indicating Whether Any Tea was Planted in the County of Birth; Panel B: Coefficients of the Interactions between Whether a
Cohort was Born Post Reform and a Continuous Variable for the Amount of Tea Planted in the County of Birth

	Dependent Variable: Years of Education							
	A. Dummy for Tea and Orchards				B. Continuous Variables for Tea and Orchards			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
	All	Female	Male	Diff	All	Female	Male	Diff
Tea * Post	0.199 (0.043)	0.247 (0.057)	0.149 (0.049)	-0.069 (0.063)	0.449 (0.107)	0.383 (0.133)	0.501 (0.146)	-0.097 (0.218)
Orchard * Post	-0.124 (0.037)	-0.226 (0.050)	-0.029 (0.040)	0.174 (0.056)	-0.021 (0.056)	-0.119 (0.071)	0.054 (0.064)	0.118 (0.086)
Cat. 2 * Post	-0.036 (0.026)	-0.024 (0.032)	-0.037 (0.028)	-0.020 (0.040)	-0.065 (0.032)	-0.040 (0.041)	-0.074 (0.035)	-0.012 (0.050)
Observations	68522	33538	34984	58314	68522	33538	34984	58314
R-squared	0.37	0.48	0.34	0.14	0.37	0.48	0.34	0.14

All regressions include controls for Han ethnicity, county fixed effects and birth year fixed effects.

All standard errors clustered at the county level.

Post = 1 for cohorts born after 1976.

Figure A1 – The Effect of Planting Tea on Sex Ratios
Coefficients of the Interactions Birth Year * Amount of Tea Planted In Unrestricted Log(Sex Ratios) Equation




Figure A3 – The Effect of Planting Category 2 Cash Crops on Sex Ratios
Coefficients of the Interactions Birth Year * Amount of Category 2 Cash Crops Planted In Unrestricted Log(Sex Ratios) Equation




Figure A2 – The Effect of Planting Orchards on Sex Ratios
Coefficients of the Interactions Birth Year * Amount of Orchards Planted In Unrestricted Log(Sex Ratios) Equation




Figure A4 – The Effect of Planting Tea and Orchards on Sex Ratios
Coefficients of the Interactions Birth Year * Amount of Tea Planted and Birth Year * Amount of Orchards Planted in Pooled Log(Sex Ratios) Equation




Table A1 – The Effect of Tea, Orchards and Cash Crops on Sex Ratios:
Coefficients of the Interactions between Birth Years and the Amount of Tea, Orchards and/or Category 2 Cash Crops Planted in the County of Birth

Birth Year	Dependent Variable: Log Sex Ratio											
	A. Unrestricted						B. Pooled					
	Tea (1)		Orchards (2)		Cash Crops (3)		Tea (4)		Orchards (5)		Cash Crops (6)	
	Coeff.	Std. Err.	Coeff.	Std. Err.	Coeff.	Std. Err.	Coeff.	Std. Err.	Coeff.	Std. Err.	Coeff.	Std. Err.
1963	-0.023	(0.055)	0.002	(0.038)	-0.001	(0.006)	-0.010	(0.030)	0.001	(0.037)	0.000	(0.013)
1964	0.029	(0.098)	0.015	(0.036)	0.002	(0.007)	0.068	(0.028)	0.063	(0.042)	-0.022	(0.013)
1965	-0.107	(0.054)	0.001	(0.038)	-0.009	(0.007)	0.028	(0.029)	0.062	(0.036)	-0.026	(0.013)
1966	-0.035	(0.057)	0.018	(0.023)	-0.004	(0.007)	-0.005	(0.027)	0.046	(0.036)	-0.011	(0.013)
1967	-0.059	(0.062)	0.013	(0.025)	0.005	(0.007)	0.044	(0.030)	0.030	(0.035)	-0.009	(0.014)
1968	-0.084	(0.059)	-0.010	(0.052)	-0.007	(0.007)	0.037	(0.030)	0.034	(0.037)	-0.019	(0.013)
1969	0.003	(0.062)	0.002	(0.038)	-0.006	(0.007)	0.013	(0.033)	0.036	(0.037)	-0.015	(0.014)
1970	-0.084	(0.066)	-0.026	(0.080)	-0.013	(0.008)	0.034	(0.031)	0.028	(0.041)	-0.024	(0.013)
1971	-0.034	(0.046)	0.009	(0.038)	-0.007	(0.008)	0.035	(0.035)	0.070	(0.042)	-0.026	(0.015)
1972	-0.050	(0.042)	-0.024	(0.069)	-0.009	(0.007)	0.046	(0.036)	0.023	(0.040)	-0.021	(0.015)
1973	-0.088	(0.044)	-0.026	(0.073)	-0.013	(0.007)	0.035	(0.034)	0.032	(0.038)	-0.025	(0.013)
1974	-0.075	(0.059)	-0.002	(0.046)	-0.011	(0.007)	0.031	(0.031)	0.064	(0.038)	-0.028	(0.014)
1975	-0.073	(0.052)	-0.032	(0.090)	-0.006	(0.008)	0.021	(0.037)	-0.025	(0.046)	-0.003	(0.015)
1976	-0.007	(0.082)	-0.017	(0.070)	-0.006	(0.008)	0.028	(0.037)	0.008	(0.046)	-0.011	(0.016)
1977	-0.034	(0.078)	-0.010	(0.054)	-0.007	(0.007)	0.032	(0.030)	0.034	(0.037)	-0.019	(0.013)
1978	-0.091	(0.058)	-0.022	(0.068)	-0.017	(0.007)	0.003	(0.029)	0.039	(0.037)	-0.025	(0.012)
1979	-0.025	(0.046)	0.014	(0.032)	-0.003	(0.007)	0.054	(0.032)	0.073	(0.038)	-0.026	(0.013)
1980	-0.125	(0.060)	-0.001	(0.041)	-0.016	(0.007)	0.000	(0.030)	0.069	(0.037)	-0.029	(0.013)
1981	-0.086	(0.065)	0.006	(0.042)	-0.016	(0.007)	0.010	(0.031)	0.091	(0.038)	-0.035	(0.012)
1982	-0.097	(0.047)	0.040	(0.004)	-0.003	(0.007)	-0.009	(0.032)	0.082	(0.039)	-0.017	(0.014)
1983	-0.116	(0.062)	0.012	(0.030)	-0.007	(0.007)	-0.005	(0.029)	0.053	(0.034)	-0.017	(0.011)
1984	-0.142	(0.075)	-0.011	(0.055)	-0.018	(0.007)	0.011	(0.032)	0.066	(0.036)	-0.032	(0.013)
1985	-0.106	(0.065)	0.007	(0.037)	-0.014	(0.007)	-0.007	(0.031)	0.075	(0.034)	-0.028	(0.012)
1986	-0.112	(0.057)	-0.014	(0.054)	-0.015	(0.007)	-0.021	(0.032)	0.031	(0.037)	-0.018	(0.013)
1987	-0.045	(0.066)	0.014	(0.028)	-0.006	(0.006)	0.003	(0.030)	0.057	(0.034)	-0.018	(0.012)
1988	-0.182	(0.050)	-0.025	(0.070)	-0.020	(0.007)	0.020	(0.033)	0.054	(0.038)	-0.034	(0.013)
1989	-0.148	(0.079)	0.000	(0.041)	-0.019	(0.007)	-0.008	(0.032)	0.084	(0.037)	-0.035	(0.013)
1990	-0.146	(0.076)	0.040	(0.012)	-0.012	(0.009)	0.005	(0.041)	0.137	(0.045)	-0.041	(0.016)
Observations	47214		47215		47216				47214			
R-squared	0.15		0.16		0.17				0.15			

All regressions includes county and birth year fixed effects.

Standard errors clustered at county level.

Table A2 – Differences-in-Differences Estimates of the Effect of Planting Tea and Orchards on Sex Ratios:

Coefficients of the Interactions between Dummies Indicating Whether a Cohort was Born Post Reform and Dummies Indicating Whether Any Tea was Planted in the County of Birth

Dependent Variable: Log Sex Ratio		
	(1)	(2)
Tea * Post	-0.039 (0.010)	-0.029 (0.011)
Orchards * post		0.027 (0.013)
Observations	30355	30355
R-Square	0.13	0.13

All regressions includes controls for category 2 cash crop*post, post and county fixed effects. Standard errors clustered at county level.

Table A3 – Descriptive Statistics of 0.1% Sample of the 2000 Population Census

	Counties that Plant no Tea			Counties that Some Tea		
	Obs	Mean	Std. Err.	Obs	Mean	Std. Err.
Fraction of Male	81774	53.31%	0.0017	25290	53.56%	0.0031
Fraction of Han	81774	93.47%	0.0008	25290	86.05%	0.0019
Years of Education	81774	7.14	0.0110	25290	6.89	0.0198
Male-Female Education	58590	0.55	0.0071	18034	0.55	0.0141
Fraction with Tap Water	81441	31.39%	0.0012	25182	37.60%	0.0021
Cohorts born 1962-1986						
Birth Year x County Cells						

Table A4 – The Effect of Tea, Orchard and Cash Crops on Education Attainment for Boys and Girl :
Coefficients of Interactions between Birth Year and the Amounts of Tea, Orchards and Category 2 Cash Crops in the County of Birth

Dependent Variable: Years of Education												
Birth Year	A. Sample of Girls						B. Sample of Boys					
	Tea		Orchards		Cat. 2 Cash Crops		Tea		Orchards		Cat. 2 Cash Crops	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	Coeff.	Std. Err.	Coeff.	Std. Err.	Coeff.	Std. Err.	Coeff.	Std. Err.	Coeff.	Std. Err.	Coeff.	Std. Err.
1963	-0.806	(0.695)	-0.148	(0.269)	0.104	(0.185)	0.627	(0.386)	0.399	(0.182)	-0.169	(0.122)
1964	-0.107	(0.542)	-0.013	(0.223)	-0.006	(0.149)	0.721	(0.393)	0.492	(0.178)	-0.214	(0.091)
1965	-0.397	(0.576)	0.130	(0.250)	0.109	(0.171)	0.410	(0.324)	0.590	(0.186)	-0.302	(0.116)
1966	-0.713	(0.604)	-0.147	(0.248)	0.160	(0.172)	0.423	(0.438)	0.310	(0.192)	-0.131	(0.105)
1967	-0.527	(0.659)	0.145	(0.254)	0.016	(0.154)	0.221	(0.293)	0.716	(0.181)	-0.241	(0.106)
1968	-1.014	(0.512)	-0.134	(0.226)	0.085	(0.148)	0.476	(0.492)	0.423	(0.187)	-0.246	(0.102)
1969	-0.525	(0.611)	-0.010	(0.225)	0.101	(0.144)	0.137	(0.439)	0.565	(0.226)	-0.155	(0.117)
1970	-0.676	(0.456)	-0.047	(0.230)	0.038	(0.147)	0.795	(0.437)	0.431	(0.166)	-0.213	(0.093)
1971	-0.582	(0.645)	0.145	(0.237)	0.081	(0.155)	0.744	(0.412)	0.500	(0.203)	-0.261	(0.129)
1972	-0.673	(0.552)	-0.092	(0.248)	0.044	(0.161)	0.784	(0.352)	0.641	(0.209)	-0.219	(0.118)
1973	-0.675	(1.048)	0.103	(0.313)	-0.087	(0.219)	0.668	(0.629)	0.620	(0.211)	-0.181	(0.134)
1974	-0.547	(0.623)	-0.325	(0.255)	0.124	(0.170)	0.218	(0.531)	0.447	(0.204)	-0.169	(0.109)
1975	-1.354	(0.648)	-0.005	(0.267)	0.078	(0.156)	0.413	(0.398)	0.626	(0.200)	-0.121	(0.103)
1976	-0.387	(0.715)	-0.047	(0.257)	0.090	(0.149)	0.762	(0.619)	0.501	(0.189)	-0.245	(0.116)
1977	-1.051	(0.786)	0.048	(0.297)	0.085	(0.163)	0.400	(0.447)	0.567	(0.212)	-0.144	(0.103)
1978	0.528	(0.509)	-0.128	(0.300)	-0.068	(0.138)	0.638	(0.417)	0.535	(0.216)	-0.368	(0.112)
1979	-0.469	(0.548)	-0.348	(0.275)	0.049	(0.158)	1.226	(0.391)	0.471	(0.215)	-0.262	(0.109)
1980	-0.442	(0.661)	-0.436	(0.340)	-0.183	(0.229)	0.162	(0.568)	0.787	(0.255)	-0.304	(0.143)
1981	-0.395	(0.655)	-0.031	(0.291)	-0.044	(0.197)	1.175	(0.481)	0.730	(0.201)	-0.296	(0.126)
1982	0.063	(0.615)	-0.040	(0.247)	0.113	(0.151)	1.461	(0.573)	0.864	(0.225)	-0.359	(0.107)
Obs.	28065						29273					
R-Squared	0.51						0.38					

All regressions include controls for Han and county and birth year fixed effects.
Standard errors are clustered at the county level.

Table A5 – The Effect of Tea, Orchard and Cash Crops on Education Attainment for All Individuals and the Male-Female Difference in Education Attainment:
Coefficients of Interactions between Birth Year and the Amounts of Tea, Orchards and Category 2 Cash Crops in the County of Birth

Birth Year	Dependent Variables:											
	Years of Education						Boy-Girl Difference in Years of Education					
	Tea		Orchards		Cat. 2 Cash Crops		Tea		Orchards		Cat. 2 Cash Crops	
	(1)		(2)		(3)		(4)		(5)		(6)	
	Coeff.	Std. Err.	Coeff.	Std. Err.	Coeff.	Std. Err.	Coeff.	Std. Err.	Coeff.	Std. Err.	Coeff.	Std. Err.
1963	-0.168	(0.402)	0.150	(0.167)	-0.043	(0.110)	0.926	(0.744)	0.293	(0.303)	-0.128	(0.218)
1964	0.308	(0.329)	0.237	(0.144)	-0.108	(0.085)	0.960	(0.657)	0.454	(0.319)	-0.257	(0.223)
1965	0.019	(0.321)	0.388	(0.156)	-0.125	(0.103)	0.231	(0.522)	0.339	(0.278)	-0.378	(0.180)
1966	-0.230	(0.362)	0.086	(0.153)	0.030	(0.099)	0.547	(0.679)	0.312	(0.327)	-0.251	(0.209)
1967	-0.152	(0.315)	0.472	(0.171)	-0.136	(0.096)	0.371	(0.644)	0.372	(0.316)	-0.249	(0.195)
1968	-0.312	(0.380)	0.199	(0.159)	-0.088	(0.099)	1.002	(0.542)	0.308	(0.279)	-0.263	(0.173)
1969	-0.212	(0.402)	0.297	(0.159)	-0.023	(0.089)	0.254	(0.588)	0.499	(0.352)	-0.229	(0.213)
1970	0.055	(0.297)	0.209	(0.144)	-0.099	(0.089)	1.200	(0.520)	0.426	(0.285)	-0.283	(0.192)
1971	0.051	(0.385)	0.400	(0.163)	-0.134	(0.114)	1.147	(0.638)	0.117	(0.321)	-0.249	(0.202)
1972	0.030	(0.312)	0.308	(0.172)	-0.102	(0.102)	1.036	(0.514)	0.673	(0.311)	-0.302	(0.199)
1973	0.033	(0.499)	0.381	(0.184)	-0.144	(0.130)	1.711	(1.146)	0.461	(0.362)	-0.034	(0.227)
1974	-0.203	(0.446)	0.114	(0.162)	-0.036	(0.105)	0.108	(0.599)	0.470	(0.341)	-0.098	(0.179)
1975	-0.448	(0.420)	0.362	(0.168)	-0.027	(0.098)	1.430	(0.543)	0.523	(0.351)	-0.279	(0.192)
1976	0.141	(0.563)	0.250	(0.165)	-0.095	(0.095)	0.839	(0.784)	0.482	(0.318)	-0.326	(0.204)
1977	-0.356	(0.452)	0.301	(0.200)	-0.042	(0.108)	1.415	(1.350)	0.418	(0.374)	-0.198	(0.217)
1978	0.640	(0.295)	0.247	(0.201)	-0.238	(0.094)	-0.521	(0.751)	0.452	(0.351)	-0.164	(0.184)
1979	0.226	(0.318)	0.064	(0.168)	-0.110	(0.103)	0.744	(0.527)	0.633	(0.408)	-0.189	(0.188)
1980	-0.121	(0.392)	0.453	(0.219)	-0.245	(0.129)	0.188	(1.018)	0.481	(0.441)	-0.016	(0.314)
1981	0.408	(0.401)	0.366	(0.184)	-0.174	(0.121)	1.124	(0.578)	0.825	(0.356)	-0.335	(0.220)
1982	0.729	(0.357)	0.353	(0.172)	-0.148	(0.102)	0.135	(0.931)	0.507	(0.347)	-0.312	(0.171)
Observations	57338						48758					
R-Squared	0.39						0.16					

All regressions include controls for Han and county and birth year fixed effects.
Standard errors are clustered at the county level.